

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,995	12/12/2003	Nishant Sinha	MI22-2464	2050	
21567	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	T. JOHN P.S.	GEYER, SCOTT B			
	ST AVENUE, SUITE 130 WA 99201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
51 010 11 12,	,,,201		2812		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10	/734,995	SINHA, NISHANT			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
		Sc	ott Geyer	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MESTION OF	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, causi	OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply b oly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil		<del></del>				
<i>,</i> —		,					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 54-65 and 74-86 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 54-60,63 and 74-83 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 61,62,64,65 and 84-86 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 12 December Applicant may not request that any objected (s) including the oath or declaration is objected (s)	er 2003 is/are: a ection to the draw g the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeyance. s required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or  r No(s)/Mail Date 121203.		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant note: the examiner of record has changed from the previous office action.

#### Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement established in the office action dated 8-23-05 is withdrawn. Claims 54-65 and 74-86 are currently pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The references cited in the IDS document submitted on December 12, 2003 (paper no. 121203) have been considered.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 86 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 86 is currently dependent upon claim 77. However, due to the subject matter recited in the claim, the claim should depend from claim 85. Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

Art Unit: 2812

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 54-60, 63 and 74-83 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17-23 and 26-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,706,632. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are recited within the above cited claims of the '632 patent.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 61, 64, 65, 84 and 85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/734,995

Art Unit: 2812

1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 4

SCOTT B. GEYER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SBG April 3, 2006

FOR 1 4-3-06